

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,773	12/11/2001	Hannu Konttinen	413-010727-US(PAR)	9944
2512 7590 03/19/2008 PERMAN & GREEN		EXAMINER		
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			LUDWIG, MATTHEW J	
FAIRFIELD,	.1 00824		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/014,773	KONTTINEN, HANNU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MATTHEW J. LUDWIG	2178		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: _ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

/Stephen S. Hong/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178

13. Other: .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states on page 7 of the after final amendment that the reference to Bentley should not have been issued as a final rejection by the Examiner because the new reference was not necessitated by Applicant's prior amendments. The Final rejection was carefully reviewed based upon the amendments to the claims received 9/28/07. The Examiner has determined the final rejection to be proper based upon the newly formed limitations within the independent claims. Multiple changes to the independent were included in the amendment received 9/28/07 and therefore the Examiner was required to withdraw the pior art reference and perform a new search which revealed a new reference to reject the claims. Applicant argues on page 9 that Bentley fails to provide a selection of a group of words extending between a starting point and an ending point for simultaneous display in the RSVP screen. However, if the sequence of text is provided to a user sequentially and is based upon control information selected by the user then the reference suggests sequential processing based upon a start element (beginning of single word or sequence of words) and end element (end of single word or sequence or words). No description of what defines a start element or what defines an end element could be found within the language of the claim. Furthermore, applicant states the reference fails to explicitly state 'language specific rules of syntax' however the phrase 'specific rules of syntax' is being interpreted as an ordered arrangement of text elements specified by the user. The Bentley reference includes the stored control information related to the sequence of words and provides a similar function of 'language specific rules of syntax' for reading text straight forward and at a desired rate designated by the user of the device. In reference to arguments presented on pages 9 and 10 of the amendment regarding the reference to Bailey teaching short phrases presented in a sequence, the Examiner believes the limitations, as presently claimed, fail to preclude the use of a word or short phrases as disclosed in the reference to Bentley. The limitations of the independent claims do not clearly state whether one or more than one word is being used as a reading portion. Therefore, the reference to Bentley seems to apply based on the claim language found in the independent claims.